```
1
  THOMAS P. O'BRIEN
    United States Attorney
 2
    CHRISTINE C. EWELL
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Criminal Division
    STEVEN R. WELK
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
    FRANK D. KORTUM
    Assistant United States Attorney
 6
    Asset Forfeiture Section
    California Bar No. 110984
 7
         United States Courthouse
         312 N. Spring Street, Suite 1400
         Los Angeles, California 90012
 8
         Telephone: (213) 894-5710 Facsimile: (213) 894-7177
 9
         Email:
                   frank.kortum@usdoj.gov
10
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
11
    United States of America
12
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14
                             SOUTHERN DIVISION
15
16
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                     )
                                         NO. SACV 08-1175 CJC (RNBx)
17
              Plaintiff,
                                         [PROPOSED]
                                         CONSENT JUDGMENT
18
              v.
19
    ONE 2005 LOTUS ELISE,
                                         [NOTICE OF LODGING LODGED
20
                                         CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH]
              Defendant.
21
22
    DIEU PHAN,
23
              Claimant.
24
25
    //
26
    //
27
28
```

FDK:ka

On October 20, 2008, plaintiff United States of America ("plaintiff" or the "government") filed a Complaint for Forfeiture against the defendant property as follows: One 2005 Lotus Elise (the "2005 Lotus") (the "defendant property"). The government alleged that the defendant property was subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(4).

Claimant Dieu Phan has not filed a claim or an answer. No other claims, statements of interest, or answers have been filed, and the time for filing claims, statements, and answers has expired.

The government and claimant have agreed to settle this forfeiture action and to avoid further litigation.

The Court having been duly advised of and having considered the matter, and based upon the consent of plaintiff and claimant,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and 1355.
- 2. The Complaint for Forfeiture states a claim for relief pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(4).
- 3. Notice of this action has been given as required by law. Claimant is relieved of his duty to file a claim and answer in this action. The Court deems that all other potential claimants admit the allegations of the Complaint for Forfeiture to be true.

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

-2- FDK:ka

4. Claimant agrees to forfeiture as set out below. A judgment of forfeiture is hereby entered in favor of the United States, which shall dispose of the following defendant properties

in accordance with the law:

- (A) Within thirty calendar days of the entry of judgment, claimant shall pay the government \$2,000.00, which shall be condemned and forfeited to the United States in accordance with law. Payment shall be made in the form of a cashier's check payable to the United States Treasury Department. The cashier's check shall be delivered to Assistant United States Attorney Frank D. Kortum at United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, California 90012.
- (B) The 2005 Lotus Elise shall be returned to claimant Dieu Phan.
- 5. Claimant will execute further documents, to the extent necessary, to further implement the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6. Claimant waives the redacting and sealing requirements of L.R. 79-5.4.
- 7. Claimant hereby releases the United States of America, its agencies, officers, and employees, including employees of the Drug Enforcement Administration, and local law enforcement agencies, their agents, officers, and employees, from any and all claims, actions, or liabilities arising out of or related to this action, including, without limitation, any claim for attorneys' fees, costs, or interest on behalf of claimants, whether pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 or otherwise.

-3- FDK:ka

1	8. The Court finds that there was reasonable cause for the
2	institution of these proceedings against the defendant property.
3	This judgment shall be construed as a certificate of reasonable
4	cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465.
5	9. The Court further finds that claimant did not
6	substantially prevail in this action, and the parties shall bear
7	their own attorneys' fees and other costs of litigation.
8	DATED: July 23, 2009
9	
10	THE HONORABLE CORMAC J. CARNEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT GODGE
12	CONSENT
13	The government and claimants consent to judgment and waive
14	
15	any right to appeal.
16	DATED: July, 2009 THOMAS P. O'BRIEN United States Attorney CHRISTINE C. EWELL
17	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
18	STEVEN R. WELK Assistant United States Attorney
19	Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
20	
21	FRANK D. KORTUM Assistant United States Attorney
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff
23	United States of America
24	DATED: July, 2009 LAW OFFICES OF VINCENT LABARBERA
25	DAIED. UKLY, ZUU9 HAW OFFICES OF VINCENI HABARBERA
26	VINCENT LABARBERA
27	Attorney for Claimant Dieu Phan
28	

-4- FDK:ka